This ISD the NATE CONFERENCE OF COUNT PROCEEDIngs Head Only 24 /32 ain De alle Clypton the court maintaining a particular record.

Dineen Johnson v. Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, Walmart, Inc., C.P. Food Products Inc dba Captn's Pack and CP Foods USA

Case Number

45D10-2202-CT-000165

Court

Lake Superior Court, Civil Division 6

Type

CT - Civil Tort

Filed

02/16/2022

Status

02/16/2022, Pending (active)

Parties to the Case

Defendant Wal-Mart Stores East, LP

<u>Attorney</u>

Robert Burdette Thornburg #1959402, Retained

201 N. Illinois St., Ste. 1900 P.O. Box 44961 Indianapolis, IN 46244-0961

317-237-3800(W)

Defendant Walmart, Inc.

<u>Attorney</u>

Robert Burdette Thornburg #1959402, Retained

201 N. Illinois St., Ste. 1900 P.O. Box 44961 Indianapolis, IN 46244-0961 317-237-3800(W)

Defendant C.P. Food Products Inc dba Captn's Pack and CP Foods USA

<u>Address</u>

7135 Minstrel Way Columbia, MD 21045

Attorney

David Peter Burow Flak #2004964, Retained

Liberty Mutual Group Field Legal Offices PO Box 6835 Scranton, PA 18505 317-582-0438(W)

Plaintiff

Johnson, Dineen

USDC IN/ND case 2:22-cv-00168 document 1-1 filed 06/24/22 page 2 of 61

<u>Attorney</u>

Julie A. Dugan

#2263745, Retained

275 Joliet St.

Ste. 330

Dyer, IN 46311

219-322-8222(W)

Chronological Case Summary

02/16/2022 Case Opened as a New Filing

02/16/2022 Appearance Filed

Appearance

For Party:

Johnson, Dineen

File Stamp:

02/16/2022

02/16/2022 Complaint/Equivalent Pleading Filed

Complaint for Damages and Jury Demand

Filed By:

Johnson, Dineen

File Stamp:

02/16/2022

02/16/2022 Subpoena/Summons Filed

Summons Wal-Mart Stores East, LP

Filed By:

Johnson, Dineen

File Stamp:

02/16/2022

02/16/2022 Subpoena/Summons Filed

Summons Walmart, Inc.

Filed By:

Johnson, Dineen

File Stamp:

02/16/2022

02/25/2022 Service Returned Served (E-Filing)

Return of Service for Wal-Mart Stores East, LP

Filed By:

Johnson, Dineen

File Stamp:

02/25/2022

02/25/2022 Service Returned Served (E-Filing)

Return of Service on Walmart, Inc.

Filed By:

Johnson, Dineen

File Stamp:

02/25/2022

03/18/2022 Appearance Filed

Appearance on behalf of Defendants

For Party:

Wal-Mart Stores East, LP

For Party:

Walmart, Inc.

File Stamp:

03/18/2022

USDC IN/ND case 2:22-cv-00168 document 1-1 filed 06/24/22 page 3 of 61 Answer Filed

03/18/2022

Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint

Filed By:

Wal-Mart Stores East, LP

Filed By:

Walmart, Inc.

File Stamp:

03/18/2022

03/18/2022 Hearing Scheduling Activity

Initial Hearing scheduled for 08/29/2022 at 1:30 PM.

03/18/2022

Order for Hearing

Order Scheduling Zoom Case Management Conference

Judicial Officer:

Lang, Kathleen B - PT

Order Signed:

03/18/2022

03/18/2022

Answer Filed

Answer (resubmitting per Court instruction)

Filed By:

Wal-Mart Stores East, LP

Filed By:

Walmart, Inc.

File Stamp:

03/18/2022

03/19/2022 Automated ENotice Issued to Parties

Hearing Scheduling Activity ---- 3/18/2022: Julie A. Dugan; Robert Burdette Thornburg Order for Hearing ---- 3/18/2022:

Julie A. Dugan; Robert Burdette Thornburg

04/18/2022

Motion Filed

Motion for Leave to File First Amended Complaint

Filed By:

Johnson, Dineen

File Stamp:

04/15/2022

04/18/2022

Amended Pleading Filed

First Amended Complaint for Damages and Jury Demand

Filed By:

Johnson, Dineen

File Stamp:

04/15/2022

04/18/2022

Subpoena/Summons Filed

Summons

Filed By:

Johnson, Dineen

File Stamp:

04/15/2022

04/18/2022

Subpoena/Summons Filed

Summons

Filed By:

Johnson, Dineen

File Stamp:

04/15/2022

05/18/2022

Order Granting

Motion for Leave to File First Amended Complaint.

Judicial Officer:

Adat-Lopez, Rehana R

Order Signed:

05/18/2022

05/19/2022 Automated ENotice Issued to Parties

Order Granting ---- 5/18/2022: Julie A. Dugan; Robert Burdette Thornburg

USDC IN/ND case 2:22-cv-00168 document 1-1 filed 06/24/22 page 4 of 61

Service Returned Served (E-Filing) 05/26/2022

Return of Service on CP Food Products, Inc. d/b/a Captn's Pack

Filed By:

Johnson, Dineen

File Stamp:

05/26/2022

05/26/2022

Service Returned Served (E-Filing)

Return of Service on CP Foods USA

Filed By:

Johnson, Dineen

File Stamp:

05/26/2022

06/07/2022

Answer Filed

Walmart's Answer to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint

Filed By:

Wal-Mart Stores East, LP

Filed By:

Walmart, Inc.

File Stamp:

06/07/2022

06/10/2022

Appearance Filed

Appearance by C.P. Food Products Inc dba Captn's Pack and CP Foods USA

For Party:

C.P. Food Products Inc dba Captn's Pack and CP Foods USA

File Stamp:

06/10/2022

06/10/2022

Motion for Enlargement of Time Filed

Motion for Extension of Time

Filed By:

C.P. Food Products Inc dba Captn's Pack and CP Foods USA

File Stamp:

06/10/2022

06/10/2022

Order Granting Motion for Enlargement of Time

Judicial Officer:

Adat-Lopez, Rehana R

Order Signed:

06/10/2022

06/11/2022 Automated ENotice Issued to Parties

Order Granting Motion for Enlargement of Time ---- 6/10/2022: David Peter Burow Flak; Julie A. Dugan; Robert Burdette

Thornburg

06/21/2022

Amended Pleading Filed

Defendant's Amended Answer to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint for Damages

Filed By:

Wal-Mart Stores East, LP

Filed By:

Walmart, Inc.

File Stamp:

06/20/2022

08/29/2022 Initial Hearing

Session:

08/29/2022 1:30 PM, Judicial Officer: Adat-Lopez, Rehana R

Comment:

via Zoom

Financial Information

* Financial Balances reflected are current representations of transactions processed by the Clerk's Office. Please note that any balance due does not reflect interest that has accrued – if applicable – since the last payment. For questions/concerns regarding balances shown, please contact the Clerk's Office.

Johnson, Dineen

Plaintiff

Balance Due (as of 06/24/2022) case 2:22-cv-00168 document 1-1 filed 06/24/22 page 5 of 61 0.00

Charge Summary

Description	Amount	Credit	Payment
Court Costs and Filing Fees	157.00	0.00	157.00

Transaction Summary

Date	Description	Amount
02/16/2022	Transaction Assessment	157.00
02/16/2022	Electronic Payment	(157.00)

This is not the official court record. Official records of court proceedings may only be obtained directly from the court maintaining a particular record.

filed 06/24/22 page 6 of 61 Filed: 2/16/2022 1:04 PM Clerk

Lake Superior Court, Civil Division 6

Lake County, Indiana

) SS: IN THE LAKE CIRCUIT/SUPERIOR COURT
COUNTY OF LAKE), INDIANA
DINEEN JOHNSON,
Plaintiff,)
v. Cause No.:
WAL-MART STORES EAST, LP and) WALMART, INC.
Defendants.
APPEARANCE BY ATTORNEY IN CIVIL CASE
This Appearance Form must be filed on behalf of every party in a civil case.
 The party on whose behalf this form is being filed is: Initiating X Responding; and
the undersigned attorney and all attorneys listed on this form now appear in this case for the following parties:
Name of party: _Dineen Johnson, Plaintiff
Address of party (see Question # 6 below if this case involves a protection from abuse order, a workplace violence restraining order, or a no-contact order)
Telephone # of party
(List on a continuation page additional parties this attorney represents in this case.)
2. Attorney information for service as required by Trial Rule 5(B)(2)
Name: <u>Julie A. Dugan</u> Atty Number: <u>22637-45</u>
Address: Rubino, Ruman, Crosmer & Polen,
275 Joliet Street, Suite 330, Dyer, IN 46311
Phone: (219) 322-8222 Fax: (219) 322-6675
Email Address: jdugan@rubinoruman.com
(List on continuation page additional attorneys appearing for above party)

IM		RTANT: Each attorney specified on this appearance: certifies that the contact information listed for him on the Indiana Supreme Court Roll of Attorneys is current and accurate as of the date this
	(b)	Appearance is filed; acknowledges that all orders, opinions, and notices in this matter served under Trial Rule 86(G) will be sent to the attorney at the email address specified by the attorney on the Roll of Attorneys regardless of the contact
	(c)	information listed above for the attorney; and understands that he is solely responsible for keeping his Roll of Attorneys contact information current and accurate, see Ind. Admis. Disc. R. 2(A).
	3.	This is aCT case type as defined in administrative Rule 8(B)(3).
	4.	This case involves child support issues. Yes No _X_ (If yes, supply social security numbers for all family members on a separately attached document filed as confidential information on light green paper. Use Form TCM-TR3.1-4.)
	5.	This case involves a protection from abuse order, a workplace violence restraining order, or a no – contact order. Yes No _X_ (If Yes, the initiating party must provide an address for the purpose of legal service but that address should not be one that exposes the whereabouts of a petitioner.) The party shall use the following address for purposes of legal service:
		Attorney's address
		The Attorney General Confidentiality program address (contact the Attorney General at 1-800-321-1907 or e-mail address is confidential@atg.in.gov). Another address (provide)
	6.	This case involves a petition for involuntary commitment. Yes NoX
	8.	If Yes above, provide the following regarding the individual subject to the petition for involuntary commitment:
		a. Name of the individual subject to the petition for involuntary commitment if it is not already provided in #1 above:
		b. State of Residence of person subject to petition:
		c. At least one of the following pieces of identifying information:
		(i) Date of Birth

	(ii)	Driver's License Number
		State where issued Expiration date
	(iii)	State ID number
		State where issued Expiration date
	(iv)	FBI number
	(v)	Indiana Department of Corrections Number
	(vi)	Social Security Number is available and is being provided in an attached confidential document Yes No
9. The	re ar	re related cases: Yes No <u>X</u> (If yes, list on continuation page.)
10.Add	lition	al information required by local rule:
		re other party members: Yes No_X_ (If yes, list on n page.)
attache	ed:	m has been served on all other parties and Certificate of Service is
		/s/ Julie A. Dugan Julie A. Dugan, (22637-45) Attorney-at-Law (Attorney information shown above)

2. CONTINUATION PAGE (Additional Attorneys Appearing)

Name: Terrence M. Rubino Atty Number: 6220-45

Address: Rubino, Ruman, Crosmer & Polen, 275 Joliet Street, Suite 330, Dyer, IN 46311

Phone: (219) 322-8222 Fax: (219) 322-6675

Email Address: trubino@rubinoruman.com

Name: Andrew A. Crosmer Atty Number: 11531-45

Address: Rubino, Ruman, Crosmer & Polen, 275 Joliet Street, Suite 330, Dyer, IN

<u>46311</u>

Phone: (219) 322-8222 Fax: (219) 322-6675

Email Address: acrosmer@rubinoruman.com

Name: Michael E. Polen, Jr. Atty Number: 25052-45

Address: Rubino, Ruman, Crosmer & Polen, 275 Joliet Street, Suite 330, Dyer, IN

46311

Phone: (219) 322-8222 Fax: (219) 322-6675

Email Address: mpolen@rubinoruman.com

Name: Daniel J. Zlatic Atty Number: 19920-45

Address: Rubino, Ruman, Crosmer & Polen, 275 Joliet Street, Suite 330, Dyer, IN

46311

Phone: (219) 322-8222 Fax: (219) 322-6675

Email Address: dzlatic@rubinoruman.com

Lake Superior Court, Civil Division 6

Lake County, Indiana

STATE OF INDIANA)) SS:	IN THE L	LAKE CIRCUIT/SUPERIOR COURT
COUNTY OF LAKE)	-	, INDIANA
DINEEN JOHNSON,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	Cause No.:
WAL-MART STORES EAWALMART, INC.	AST, L	Pand))	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,)	
Defendants.)	

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND JURY DEMAND

Comes now the plaintiff, Dineen Johnson, by counsel, Julie A. Dugan of Rubino, Ruman, Crosmer, & Polen, and for her cause of action against the defendants, Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Walmart, Inc., states as follows:

- 1. That the defendant, Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, is a corporation licensed to do business in the State of Indiana.
- 2. That the defendant, Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, operates store #2544 (Store #2544) located at 6087 US Highway 6, Portage, IN 46368.
- 3. That the defendant, Walmart Inc. is located at 702 SW 8th Street, Bentonville, AZ 72712.
- 4. That the Defendants manufacture, distribute, and sell Great Value Cooked Shrimp in the State of Indiana.
- 5. That on April 16, 2020 and May 13, 2020, the plaintiff, Dineen Johnson, purchased large and extra-large frozen Great Value Cooked Shrimp, Peeled, Deveined and Tail-On from Store #2544.

6. While eating one package of the shrimp, Plaintiff Dineen Johnson encountered a black substance within the shrimp.

7. The plaintiff became ill after coming in to contact with the black substance and has required medical treatment for care of her injuries. As a direct and proximate result, she has incurred medical expenses and mental distress.

8. When the plaintiff sustained her injuries, she was a consumer and the defendants were the manufacturers, distributors, and sellers.

9. The defendants placed into the stream of commerce a product in a defective condition unreasonably dangerous to the plaintiff and, pursuant to I. C. § 34-20-2 et. seq.., the defendants are strictly liable to the plaintiff for injuries and damages.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff seeks compensatory damages which will reasonably compensate her, plus costs, including attorneys' fees for any frivolously asserted affirmative defenses, prejudgment interest and any other proper relief.

/s/ Julie A. Dugan
Julie A. Dugan, #22637-45
RUBINO, RUMAN, CROSMER,
& POLEN
Attorney for Plaintiff

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff, by counsel, demands trial by jury.

s/ Julie A. Dugan
Julie A. Dugan, #22637-45
RUBINO, RUMAN, CROSMER,
& POLEN
275 Joliet Street, Suite 330
Dyer, IN 46311
(219) 322-8222
jdugan@rubinoruman.com
Attorney for Plaintiff

filed 06/24/22 page 13 of 61 Filed: 2/16/2022 1:04 PM USDC IN/ND case 2:22-cv urt, Civil Division 6 THE LAKE CIRCUIT/SUPERIOR COURT STATE OF INDIANA) SS: , INDIANA COUNTY OF LAKE DINEEN JOHNSON, Plaintiff, CAUSE NO.: -vs-WAL-MART STORES EAST, LP and WALMART, INC. Defendants. SUMMONS THE STATE OF INDIANA TO THE DEFENDANT: Wal-Mart Stores East, LP c/o C T Corporation System 334 North Senate Avenue Indianapolis, IN 46204

You have been sued by the person(s) identified as "Plaintiff" in the Court stated above.

The nature of the suit against you is stated in the Complaint, which is attached to this Summons. It also states the demand which the Plaintiff has made against you.

You must either personally or by your attorney, file your written answer to the Complaint with the Clerk within twenty (20) days commencing the day after this Summons and the Complaint were personally served upon you or your agent or left for you by the Sheriff or other process server.

In the event the Summons and Complaint were left for you and you then receive by first class mail (not certified), a copy of the Summons alone, this mailing is merely a confirmation that the Summons and Complaint were previously left for you. You should not consider the date on which you receive the mailed Summons as the commencement date for the time period allowed for your answer. Rather, the time period allowed for your written answer commences on the date when the Summons and Complaint were first personally served upon you or your agent or left for you by the Sheriff or other process server.

However, if you and your agent first received the Summons and the Complaint by certified mail, you have twenty-three (23) days from the date of receipt to file your written answer with the Clerk.

If you fail to answer the Complaint of the Plaintiff within the times prescribed herein, judgment will be entered against you for what the Plaintiff has demanded.

If you have a claim against the Plaintiff arising from the same transaction or occurrence, you may be required to assert such claim in writing together with your written answer.

PREPARATION DATA:

All Summons are to be prepared in triplicate with the original of each to be placed in the Court file with two copies available for service. If service is by certified mail, a properly addressed envelope shall be provided for each Defendant.

Lorenzo Arredondo, Clerk of the Lake Superior Court

Certified Mail labels and return receipts must be furnished for each mailing and the cause number must appear on each return receipt, which shall be returnable to the Clerk at the address of the Court. (Form: CS 1/97)

USDC IN/ND case 2:22-cv-00168 document 1-1 filed 06/24/22 page 14 of 61

	CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
Summons a	reby certify that on the day of, 20, I mailed a copy of this and a copy of the Complaint to the Defendant, by certified mail, requesting a return receipt, at a furnished by the Plaintiff.
the address	
	redondo, Clerk of the Lake Superior Court
By	(Deputy)
25	
	RETURN ON SERVICE OF SUMMONS BY MAIL
	reby certify that the attached return receipt was received by me showing that the Summons and ne Complaint was mailed to the Defendant, was accepted by the Defendant on the day of
	, 20
I her a copy of the	reby certify that the attached return receipt was received by me showing that the Summons and e Complaint was returned not accepted on the day of, 20
	we down do Clouds of the Labo Campaign Count
	redondo, Clerk of the Lake Superior Court (Deputy)
	RETURN OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS BY SHERIFF
I her	reby certify that I have served the within Summons:
1. I	By delivering on the day of, 20, a copy of this Summons, a copy of
t	the Complaint, and all other materials filed the same date to each of the within named person(s).
2. I	By leaving on the day of, 20, for each of the within named
1	person)(s), a copy of the Summons, a copy of the Complaint, and all other materials filed the same date at the respective dwelling house or usual
	place of abode ofin
_	, Indiana, with a person of suitable age and discretion
	residing within, whose usual duties or activities include prompt communication of such
	nformation to the person served, or by otherwise leaving such process thereat, and by mailing a copy of the Summons without the Complaint to the said named person(s) at the address listed
	nerein.
	Γhis Summons came to hand this date,, 20 The within named,, was not found to my bailiwick this date,,
9	, was not round to my barriwick tims date,,
	ALL DONE IN LAKE COUNTY, INDIANA.
Sheriff of L	ake County, Indiana
D1101111 01 11	
Ву	
	SERVICE ACKNOWLEDGED
	py of the within Summons, a copy of the Complaint, and all materials filed the same date
attached l	hereto were received by me at, in, Indiana, on this date,, 20
*	, Indiana, on this date,, 20
Signature o	of Defendant

filed 06/24/22 page 15 of 61 Filed: 2/16/2022 1:04 PM USDC IN/ND case 2:22-cv urt, Civil Division 6 THE LAKE CIRCUIT/SUPERIOR COURT Lake County, Indiana STATE OF INDIANA) SS: COUNTY OF LAKE . INDIANA DINEEN JOHNSON, Plaintiff, CAUSE NO.: -vs-WAL-MART STORES EAST, LP and WALMART, INC. Defendants. SUMMONS THE STATE OF INDIANA TO THE DEFENDANT: Walmart, Inc. c/o C T Corporation System 334 North Senate Avenue Indianapolis, IN 46204 You have been sued by the person(s) identified as "Plaintiff" in the Court stated above. The nature of the suit against you is stated in the Complaint, which is attached to this Summons. It also states the demand which the Plaintiff has made against you. You must either personally or by your attorney, file your written answer to the Complaint with the Clerk within twenty (20) days commencing the day after this Summons and the Complaint were personally served upon you or your agent or left for you by the Sheriff or other process server. In the event the Summons and Complaint were left for you and you then receive by first class mail (not

certified), a copy of the Summons alone, this mailing is merely a confirmation that the Summons and Complaint were previously left for you. You should not consider the date on which you receive the mailed Summons as the commencement date for the time period allowed for your answer. Rather, the time period allowed for your written answer commences on the date when the Summons and Complaint were first personally served upon you or your agent or left for you by the Sheriff or other process server.

However, if you and your agent first received the Summons and the Complaint by certified mail, you have twenty-three (23) days from the date of receipt to file your written answer with the Clerk.

If you fail to answer the Complaint of the Plaintiff within the times prescribed herein, judgment will be entered against you for what the Plaintiff has demanded.

If you have a claim against the Plaintiff arising from the same transaction or occurrence, you may be required to assert such claim in writing together with your written answer.

Manner of Service: Certified Mail 2/16/2022 ,2022

Attorney Julie A. Dugan - #22637-45 RUBINO, RUMAN, CROSMER & POLEN, LLC 275 Joliet Street, Suite 330

Dyer, IN 46311

(219) 322-8222 (telephone)

(219) 322-6675 (fax)

Lorenzo Arredondo

Lorenzo Arredondo, Clerk of the Lake Superior Court

(Deput)

PREPARATION DATA:

All Summons are to be prepared in triplicate with the original of each to be placed in the Court file with two copies available for service. If service is by certified mail, a properly addressed envelope shall be provided for each Defendant.

Certified Mail labels and return receipts must be furnished for each mailing and the cause number must appear on each return receipt, which shall be returnable to the Clerk at the address of the Court. (Form: CS 1/97)

USDC IN/ND case 2:22-cv-00168 document 1-1 filed 06/24/22 page 16 of 61

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
I hereby certify that on the day of, 20, I mailed a copy of the Summons and a copy of the Complaint to the Defendant, by certified mail, requesting a return receipt, and a copy of the Complaint to the Defendant, by certified mail, requesting a return receipt, and the copy of the Complaint to the Defendant, by certified mail, requesting a return receipt, and the copy of the Complaint to the Defendant, by certified mail, requesting a return receipt, and the copy of the Complaint to the Defendant, by certified mail, requesting a return receipt, and the copy of the Complaint to the Defendant, by certified mail, requesting a return receipt, and the copy of the Complaint to the Defendant, by certified mail, requesting a return receipt, and the copy of the Complaint to the Defendant, by certified mail, requesting a return receipt, and the copy of the Complaint to the Defendant, by certified mail, requesting a return receipt, and the copy of the Complaint to the Defendant, by certified mail, requesting a return receipt, and the copy of the Complaint to the Defendant, by certified mail, requesting a return receipt, and the copy of the Complaint to the Defendant, by certified mail, requesting a return receipt, and the copy of the Complaint to the Defendant to the copy of the Complaint to the copy of the Complaint to the copy of the Complaint to the copy of th
the address furnished by the Plaintiff.
Lorenzo Arredondo, Clerk of the Lake Superior Court
Date:
Deputy)
RETURN ON SERVICE OF SUMMONS BY MAIL
I hereby certify that the attached return receipt was received by me showing that the Summons and a copy of the Complaint was mailed to the Defendant, was accepted by the Defendant on the day
I hereby certify that the attached return receipt was received by me showing that the Summons an
a copy of the Complaint was returned not accepted on the day of, 20
Lorenzo Arredondo, Clerk of the Lake Superior Court
By(Deputy)
RETURN OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS BY SHERIFF
I hereby certify that I have served the within Summons:
1. By delivering on the day of, 20, a copy of this Summons, a copy
the Complaint, and all other materials filed the same date to each of the within named person(s
2. By leaving on the day of, 20, for each of the within name
person)(s), a copy of the Summons, a copy of the Complaint, and all other materials filed the same date at the respective dwelling house or usus
place of abode of
, Indiana, with a person of suitable age and discretic
residing within, whose usual duties or activities include prompt communication of suc
information to the person served, or by otherwise leaving such process thereat, and by mailing copy of the Summons without the Complaint to the said named person(s) at the address liste
herein.
3. This Summons came to hand this date,, 20 The within name
, was not found to my bailiwick this date,
ALL DONE IN LAKE COUNTY, INDIANA.
Sheriff of Lake County, Indiana
By
SERVICE ACKNOWLEDGED
A copy of the within Summons, a copy of the Complaint, and all materials filed the same date attached hereto were received by me at
attached hereto were received by me at, indiana, on this date,, 20
, 20000000, 000 00000,
Signature of Defendant

Lake County, India

and the second of the second o	
SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY
 Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 	A. Signature Agent Addressee B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery
1. Article Addressed to:	D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No
Walmart, Inc. c/o CT Corporation System 334 North Senate Avenue Indianapolis, IN 46204	
9590 9402 6571 1028 4455 62 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7019 2970 0000 4353 6192	3. Service Type □ Adult Signature □ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery □ Certified Mail® □ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery □ Collect on Delivery □ Collect on Delivery □ I Mail □ Mail Restricted Delivery □ Signature Confirmation
PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053	Domestic Return Receipt

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY
 Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailplece, or on the front if space permits. 	A. Signature Agent Addressee B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery
1. Article Addressed to: Wal-Mart Stores East, LP c/o CT Corporation System 334 North Senate Avenue Indianapolis, IN 46204	D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No
9590 9402 6571 1028 4455 55 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7019 2970 0000 4353 6185	3. Service Type Adult Signature Adult Signature Restricted Delivery Certified Mail® Certified Mail Restricted Delivery Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery d Mail d Mail Restricted Delivery Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery d Mail d Mail Restricted Delivery Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery
PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053	Domèstic Return Receipt

STATE OF INDIANA IN THE LAKE SUPERIOR COURT

DINEEN JOHNSON,) CAUSE NO. 45D10-2202-CT-000165
Plaintiff,)))
v.	ý
WAL-MART STORES EAST, LP and WALMART, INC.,)))
Defendants.)
APPEARANCE BY AT	TORNEY IN CIVIL CASE
This Appearance Form must be filed on beh	nalf of every party in a civil case.
1. The party on whose behalf this form is	being filed is:
Initiating Responding X	Intervening; and
the undersigned attorney and all attorn the following parties:	eys listed on this form now appear in this case for
Name of party Wal-Mart Stores East, I	P, also incorrectly sued as Walmart, Inc.
order, a workplace violence restraining	elow if this case involves a protection from abuse g order, or a no-contact order) N Illinois Street, Suite 1900, PO Box 44961,
Telephone # of party (317) 237-3800	
FAX: (317) 237-3900	
Email Address: rthornburg@fbtlaw.com	m
(List on a continuation page additional parties	s this attorney represents in this case.)
2. Attorney information for service as re-	equired by Trial Rule 5(B)(2)
Name: Robert B. Thornburg	Atty Number: 19594-02
Address: 201 N Illinois Street, Suite 19	900, PO Box 44961, Indianapolis, IN 46244-09661
Phone: (317) 237-3800	

	FAX: (317) 237-3900
	Email Address: rthornburg@fbtlaw.com
	(List on continuation page additional attorneys appearing for above party)
3.	This is a Civil Tort case type as defined in administrative Rule 8(B)(3).
4.	I will accept service from other parties by:
	FAX at the above noted number: Yes No \underline{X}
	Email at the above noted number: Yes No \underline{X}
5.	This case involves child support issues. Yes No \underline{X} (If yes, supply social security numbers for all family members on a separately attached document filed as confidential information on light green paper . Use Form TCM-TR3.1-4.)
6.	This case involves a protection from abuse order, a workplace violence restraining order or a no – contact order. Yes No \underline{X} (If Yes, the initiating party must provide an address for the purpose of legal service but that address should not be one that exposes the whereabouts of a petitioner.) The party shall use the following address for purposes of legal service:
	Attorney's address
	The Attorney General Confidentiality program address
	(contact the Attorney General at 1-800-321-1907 or e-mail address is confidential@atg.in.gov).
	Another address (provide)
7.	This case involves a petition for involuntary commitment. Yes No X
8.	If Yes above, provide the following regarding the individual subject to the petition for involuntary commitment:
	a. Name of the individual subject to the petition for involuntary commitment if it is no already provided in #1 above:
	b. State of Residence of person subject to petition:
	c. At least one of the following pieces of identifying information:
	(i) Date of Birth
	(ii) Driver's License Number
	State where issued Expiration date

(iii)	State ID number		
	State where issued Expiration date		
(iv)	FBI number		
(v)	Indiana Department of Corrections Number		
(vi)	Social Security Number is available and is being provided in an attached confidential document Yes No		
9. There are related cases: Yes No <u>X</u> (If yes, list on continuation page.)			
10. Additional information required by local rule:			
11. There are other party members: Yes No \underline{X} (If yes, list on continuation page.)			
12. This form has been served on all other parties and Certificate of Service is attached:			
Yes <u>X</u> No	<u> </u>		

Respectfully submitted,

FROST BROWN TODD LLC

By /s/Robert B. Thornburg
Robert B. Thornburg, #19594-02
Attorney for Defendant Wal-Mart Stores
East, LP, also incorrectly sued as Walmart,
Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 18th day of March, 2022 a copy of the foregoing was served upon all parties through the Court's ECF System. Notice of this filing will be sent to the following parties by operation of the Court's electronic filing system. Parties may access this filing through the Court's system.

Julie A. Dugan RUBINO, RUMAN, CROSMER & POLEN 275 Joliet Street, Suite 330 Dyer, IN 46311 jdugan@rubinoruman.com Attorney for Plaintiff

/s/ Robert B. Thornburg

FROST BROWN TODD LLC 201 N Illinois St., Suite 1900 PO Box 44961 Indianapolis, IN 46244-0961 317-237-3800 Fax: 317-237-3900 rthornburg@fbtlaw.com

LR08000.0754862 4856-3258-0118v1

STATE OF INDIANA

Filed in Open Court

IN THE LAKE SUPERIOR COURT, CIVIL DIVISION 6 2293 N MAIN STREET

March 18, 2022

CROWN POINT INDIANA 46307

COUNTY OF LAKE

CASE NUMBER: 45D10-2202-CT-000165

DINEEN JOHNSON

V.

Orenzo Arredondo CLERK LAKE SUPERIOR COURT

WAL-MART STORES EAST, LP, WALMART, INC.

ORDER SCHEDULING ZOOM CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

The COURT pursuant to Local Rule 9 and T.R. 16(A) orders that any parties appearing pro se in this cause and all counsel of record shall:

By Zoom appear for a Case Management Conference before a judicial officer of this Court on August 29, 2022 promptly at 1:30 PM to consider:

- The possibility of agreement or settlement; a)
- The possibility of disposing of the cause by default or in summary fashion as to some or all parties or as to b) some or all claims;
- The establishment of a bar date for dispositive motions; c)
- d) The simplification of the issues;
- The necessity or desirability of amendments to the pleadings: e)
- The possibility of obtaining admissions or stipulations of fact, law, and the admissibility and authenticity of f) exhibits which will avoid unnecessary proof;
- g) A limitation of the number of expert witnesses:
- h) An exchange of the names of witnesses to be called during the trial and the general nature of their expected testimony;
- i) Whether the parties are prepared to submit the matter for trial or if the matter should be set for further Status or Pre-Trial Conferences:
- The need for discovery, appropriate limitations thereon (if any) and a deadline for the completion of the same; j)
- The utilization of one or more methods of Alternative Dispute Resolution; and k)
- Such other matters as may aid in the disposition of the action. 1)

Pursuant to T.R. 16(K) and T.R. 41(E), failure to attend the Case Management Conference may result in the entry of an order of dismissal or default against the party or parties failing to appear. In addition, the Court may impose sanctions against any counsel or party who fails to attend the Case Management Conference, is grossly unprepared to participate therein, or refuses in bad faith to enter into stipulations regarding the facts, law or exhibits.

No continuance of the Case Management Conference may be obtained by telephone or other oral request or otherwise be obtained except by prior order upon written motion in strict compliance with the provisions of T. R. 53.5 and Local Rule 7.

At the conclusion at the Case Management Conference, the Court may schedule the cause for trial or order that additional Status or Pre-Trial Conferences be conducted.

COUNSEL ARE DIRECTED TO HAVE THEIR CALENDARS AVAILABLE DURING THE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE TO FACILITATE THE SCHEDULING OF ADDITIONAL HEARINGS.

SO ORDERED on this the 18th day of March, 2022.

Kathleen B. Lang, Judge Pro Tem Lake Superior Court, Civil Division 6

STATE OF INDIANA IN THE LAKE SUPERIOR COURT

DINEEN JOHNSON,)	CAUSE NO. 45D10-2202-CT-000165
Plaintiff,)	
ν.)	
WAL-MART STORES EAST, LP and WALMART, INC.,)	
Defendants.))	

<u>DEFENDANT WAL-MART STORES EAST, LP'S ANSWER TO</u> PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

Defendant Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, also incorrectly sued and identified as Walmart, Inc. ("Wal-Mart"), by counsel, for its Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint for Damages states as follows:

- 1. Wal-Mart admits that it is licensed to do business in the State of Indiana, but denies the remaining allegations contained in rhetorical paragraph 1.
 - 2. Wal-Mart admits the allegations contained in rhetorical paragraph 2.
- 3. Wal-Mart denies the allegations contained in rhetorical paragraph 3; however, would admit that Walmart Inc. is located at 702 SW 8th Street, Bentonville, AR 72712.
- 4. Wal-Mart admits it sells Great Value cooked shrimp in the State of Indiana, but denies the remaining allegations contained in rhetorical paragraph 4.
- 5. Wal-Mart is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in rhetorical paragraph 5.
- 6. Wal-Mart is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in rhetorical paragraph 6.

- 7. Wal-Mart is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in rhetorical paragraph 7.
- 8. Wal-Mart is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in rhetorical paragraph 8.
 - 9. Wal-Mart denies the allegations contained in rhetorical paragraph 9.

WHEREFORE, Defendant Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, also incorrectly sued and identified as Walmart, Inc., by counsel, respectfully prays that Plaintiff take nothing by way of her Complaint for Damages, for its costs incurred in defending this action, and for all other just and proper relief in the premises.

Respectfully submitted,

FROST BROWN TODD LLC

By /s/Robert B. Thornburg
Robert B. Thornburg, #19594-02
Attorney for Defendant Wal-Mart Stores
East, LP, also incorrectly sued as Walmart,

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Defendant Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, also incorrectly sued and identified as Walmart, Inc., by counsel, for its Affirmative Defenses to Plaintiff's Complaint for Damages states as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff's damages were caused by her own negligence and any recovery by her is therefore barred or should be reduced pursuant to Indiana's Comparative Fault Act.
- 2. Wal-Mart is entitled to a set-off or credit in the event Plaintiff has received or will receive payments from other sources which would result in a double recovery.
 - 3. Plaintiff failed to mitigate her damages, if any.

- 4. Plaintiff's fault includes, *inter alia*, her assumption of the risk and incurred risk.
- 5. Although Wal-Mart denies the existence of a defect as alleged by Plaintiff, if Plaintiff was aware of a defect and proceeded to eat the product, such use would bar any recovery by Plaintiff.
- 6. At all relevant times, the shrimp complied with all applicable government codes, standards, regulations, or specifications, and therefore Wal-Mart is entitled to a presumption that the shrimp was not defective and that Wal-Mart was not negligent.
- 7. The fault of a currently unknown non-party, the manufacturer of the shrimp, caused or contributed to cause the damages complained of, thereby barring or reducing any recovery by Plaintiff pursuant to the Indiana Comparative Fault Act.
- 8. Wal-Mart was a retail seller and did not grow, manufacture, process or package the shrimp and therefore cannot be held liable for any defect in the shrimp.

WHEREFORE, Defendant Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, also incorrectly sued as Walmart, Inc., by counsel, respectfully prays that Plaintiff take nothing by way of her Complaint for Damages, for its costs incurred in defending this action, and for all other just and proper relief in the premises.

REQUEST FOR JURY TRIAL

Comes now Defendant, Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, also incorrectly sued as Walmart, Inc., by counsel, and requests trial by jury in this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

FROST BROWN TODD LLC

By /s/Robert B. Thornburg
Robert B. Thornburg, #19594-02
Attorney for Defendant Wal-Mart Stores
East, LP, also incorrectly sued as Walmart,
Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 18th day of March, 2022, a copy of the foregoing was served upon all parties through the Court's ECF System. Notice of this filing will be sent to the following parties by operation of the Court's electronic filing system. Parties may access this filing through the Court's system.

Julie A. Dugan RUBINO, RUMAN, CROSMER & POLEN 275 Joliet Street, Suite 330 Dyer, IN 46311 jdugan@rubinoruman.com Attorney for Plaintiff

/s/ Robert B. Thornburg

FROST BROWN TODD LLC 201 N Illinois St., Suite 1900 PO Box 44961 Indianapolis, IN 46244-0961 317-237-3800 Fax: 317-237-3900 rthornburg@fbtlaw.com

LR08000.0754862 4879-4986-7798v1

Lake County, Indiana

STATE OF INDIANA)) SS:	IN TH	E LAKE SUPERIOR COURT
COUNTY OF LAKE)	CROW	N POINT, INDIANA
DINEEN JOHNSON,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	Cause No.: 45D10-2202-CT-000165
WAL-MART STORES EAST, LP and WALMART, INC.)	
Defendants.)	

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

Comes now the plaintiff, by counsel, and moves the Court for leave to file her First Amended Complaint for Damages and Jury Demand, and in support thereof, states as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff has recently learned through discovery and investigation that the manufacturer of the Great Value Cooked Shrimp referenced in Plaintiff's Complaint is C.P. Food Products Inc. d/b/a Captn's Pack and/or C.P. Foods USA.
- 2. Based on this information, the plaintiff moves to add C.P. Food Products Inc. d/b/a Captn's Pack and C.P. Foods USA as additional defendants.
- 3. An amendment adding C.P. Food Products Inc. d/b/a Captn's Pack and C.P. Foods USA as defendants creates no legal prejudice to defendants Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Walmart, Inc.

4. The above-referenced item was first purchased on April 16, 2020 and therefore, the statute of limitations does not run until April 16, 2022, making the amendment timely.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays the Court allow amendment of the Complaint, and for all other relief just and proper in the premises.

/s/ Julie A. Dugan
JULIE A. DUGAN (#22637-45)
Rubino, Ruman, Crosmer & Polen, LLC
275 Joliet Street, Suite 330
Dyer, IN 46311
(219) 322-8222
jdugan@rubinoruman.com
Attorney for Plaintiff

Certificate of Service

I certify that on the 15th day of April, 2022, I electronically filed the above and foregoing pleading or paper with the Clerk of the Court using the Court's e-filing service and service of the foregoing pleadings or papers were made on all parties or attorneys of record herein via the Indiana E-filing system.

/s/ Julie A. Dugan

		OICIK
Lake	County,	Indiana

STATE OF INDIANA)	IN THE	LAKE SUPERIOR COURT
COUNTY OF LAKE) SS:)	CROWN	POINT, INDIANA
DINEEN JOHNSON,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	Cause No.: 45D10-2202-CT-000165 FILED IN OPEN COURT
WAL-MART STORES EA	AST, LP,)	May 18, 2022
WALMART, INC., C.P. I PRODUCTS INC. d/b/a		OK, and)	Red. Adat. Lopez
C.P. FOODS USA,)	JUDGE, LAKE SUPERIOR COURT,
Defendants.)	CIVIL DIVISION, ROOM 6 ss

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND JURY DEMAND

COUNT I

Comes now the plaintiff, Dineen Johnson, by counsel, Julie A. Dugan of Rubino, Ruman, Crosmer, & Polen, and for her cause of action against the defendants, Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Walmart, Inc., states as follows:

- 1. That the defendant, Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, is a corporation licensed to do business in the State of Indiana.
- 2. That the defendant, Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, operates store #2544 (Store #2544) located at 6087 US Highway 6, Portage, IN 46368.
- 3. That the defendant, Walmart Inc. is located at 702 SW 8th Street, Bentonville, AZ 72712.
- 4. That the Defendants manufacture, distribute, and sell Great Value Cooked Shrimp in the State of Indiana.

5. That on April 16, 2020 and May 13, 2020, the plaintiff, Dineen Johnson,

purchased large and extra-large frozen Great Value Cooked Shrimp, Peeled,

Deveined and Tail-On from Store #2544.

6. While eating one package of the shrimp, Plaintiff Dineen Johnson

encountered a black substance within the shrimp.

7. The plaintiff became ill after coming in to contact with the black

substance and has required medical treatment for care of her injuries. As a direct

and proximate result, she has incurred medical expenses and mental distress.

8. When the plaintiff sustained her injuries, she was a consumer and the

defendants were the manufacturers, distributors, and sellers.

9. The defendants placed into the stream of commerce a product in a

defective condition unreasonably dangerous to the plaintiff and, pursuant to I. C. §

34-20-2 et. seq.., the defendants are strictly liable to the plaintiff for injuries and

damages.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff seeks compensatory damages which will

reasonably compensate her, plus costs, including attorneys' fees for any frivolously

asserted affirmative defenses, prejudgment interest and any other proper relief.

<u>/s/ Julie A.</u> Dugan

Julie A. Dugan, #22637-45

RUBINO, RUMAN, CROSMER,

& POLEN

Attorney for Plaintiff

COUNT II

Comes now the plaintiff, Dineen Johnson, by counsel, Julie A. Dugan of Rubino, Ruman, Crosmer, & Polen, and for her cause of action against the defendants, C.P. Food Products Inc. d/b/a Captn's Pack and C.P. Foods USA, states as follows:

- 1.-9. That the plaintiff adopts, realleges, and incorporates herein Paragraphs

 1 through 9 of Count I of her Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 10. That the Defendants are corporations licensed to do business in the State of Indiana.
- 11. That the Defendants manufacture, distribute, and sell Great Value Cooked Shrimp in the State of Indiana.
- 12. That on April 16, 2020 and May 13, 2020, the plaintiff, Dineen Johnson, purchased large and extra-large frozen Great Value Cooked Shrimp, Peeled, Deveined and Tail-On from Store #2544.
- 13. While eating one package of the shrimp, Plaintiff Dineen Johnson encountered a black substance within the shrimp.
- 14. The plaintiff became ill after coming in to contact with the black substance and has required medical treatment for care of her injuries. As a direct and proximate result, she has incurred medical expenses and mental distress.
- 15. When the plaintiff sustained her injuries, she was a consumer and the Defendants were the manufacturers, distributors, and sellers.
 - 16. The defendants placed into the stream of commerce a product in a

defective condition unreasonably dangerous to the plaintiff and, pursuant to I. C. § 34-20-2 et. seq.., the defendants are strictly liable to the plaintiff for injuries and damages.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff seeks compensatory damages which will reasonably compensate her, plus costs, including attorneys' fees for any frivolously asserted affirmative defenses, prejudgment interest and any other proper relief.

/s/ Julie A. Dugan
Julie A. Dugan, #22637-45
RUBINO, RUMAN, CROSMER,
& POLEN
Attorney for Plaintiff

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff, by counsel, demands trial by jury.

/s/ Julie A. Dugan

Julie A. Dugan, #22637-45 RUBINO, RUMAN, CROSMER, & POLEN 275 Joliet Street, Suite 330 Dyer, IN 46311 (219) 322-8222 jdugan@rubinoruman.com Attorney for Plaintiff USDC IN/ND case 2:22-cv-00168 document 1-1 filed 06/24/22

Lake County, Indiana IN THE LAKE SUPERIOR COURT STATE OF INDIANA) SS: COUNTY OF LAKE CROWN POINT, INDIANA DINEEN JOHNSON, Plaintiff, CAUSE NO.: 45D10-2202-CT-000165 -vs-WAL-MART STORES EAST, LP, WALMART, INC., C.P. FOOD PRODUCTS INC.) d/b/a CAPTN'S PACK, and C.P. FOODS USA, Defendants. SUMMONS

THE STATE OF INDIANA TO THE DEFENDANT:

C.P. Food Products, Inc. d/b/a Captn's Pack 7135 Minstrel Way Suite 203 Columbia, MD 21045

You have been sued by the person(s) identified as "Plaintiff" in the Court stated above.

The nature of the suit against you is stated in the Complaint, which is attached to this Summons. It also states the demand which the Plaintiff has made against you.

You must either personally or by your attorney, file your written answer to the Complaint with the Clerk within twenty (20) days commencing the day after this Summons and the Complaint were personally served upon you or your agent or left for you by the Sheriff or other process server.

In the event the Summons and Complaint were left for you and you then receive by first class mail (not certified), a copy of the Summons alone, this mailing is merely a confirmation that the Summons and Complaint were previously left for you. You should not consider the date on which you receive the mailed Summons as the commencement date for the time period allowed for your answer. Rather, the time period allowed for your written answer commences on the date when the Summons and Complaint were first personally served upon you or your agent or left for you by the Sheriff or other process server.

However, if you and your agent first received the Summons and the Complaint by certified mail, you have twenty-three (23) days from the date of receipt to file your written answer with the Clerk.

If you fail to answer the Complaint of the Plaintiff within the times prescribed herein, judgment will be entered against you for what the Plaintiff has demanded.

If you have a claim against the Plaintiff arising from the same transaction or occurrence, you may be required to assert such claim in writing together with your written answer.

Manner of Service: Certified Mail

May 23, 2022 Date: . 2022

Attorney Julie A. Dugan - #22637-45 RUBINO, RUMAN, CROSMER & POLEN, LLC 275 Joliet Street, Suite 330 Dyer, IN 46311

(219) 322-8222 (telephone) (219) 322-6675 (fax)

Askedondo, Clerk of the Lake Superior Court

(Deputy)

AKE COUNT **SEAL** MDIANA

PREPARATION DATA:

All Summons are to be prepared in triplicate with the original of each to be placed in the Court file with two copies available for service. If service is by certified mail, a properly addressed envelope shall be provided for each Defendant.

Certified Mail labels and return receipts must be furnished for each mailing and the cause number must appear on each return receipt, which shall be returnable to the Clerk at the address of the Court. (Form: CS 1/97)

USDC IN/ND case 2:22-cv-00168 document 1-1 filed 06/24/22 page 35 of 61

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
I hereby certify that on the day of, 20, I mailed a copy of the
Summons and a copy of the Complaint to the Defendant, by certified mail, requesting a return receipt, a
the address furnished by the Plaintiff.
Lorenzo Arredondo, Clerk of the Lake Superior Court
Date:
By (Deputy)
RETURN ON SERVICE OF SUMMONS BY MAIL I hereby certify that the attached return receipt was received by me showing that the Summons an
a copy of the Complaint was mailed to the Defendant, was accepted by the Defendant on the day
, 20
I hereby certify that the attached return receipt was received by me showing that the Summons an
a copy of the Complaint was returned not accepted on the day of, 20
Laurence Annadamida Claub of the Labo Camprion Count
Lorenzo Arredondo, Clerk of the Lake Superior Court By(Deputy)
Dopacy,
RETURN OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS BY SHERIFF
I hereby certify that I have served the within Summons:
1. By delivering on the day of, 20, a copy of this Summons, a copy of
the Complaint, and all other materials filed the same date to each of the within named person(s 2. By leaving on the day of, 20, for each of the within name
person)(s), a copy of the Summons, a copy of the
Complaint, and all other materials filed the same date at the respective dwelling house or usual
place of abode ofi
, Indiana, with a person of suitable age and discretio
residing within, whose usual duties or activities include prompt communication of suc
information to the person served, or by otherwise leaving such process thereat, and by mailing
copy of the Summons without the Complaint to the said named person(s) at the address liste herein.
3. This Summons came to hand this date,, 20 The within named
, was not found to my bailiwick this date,
20
ALL DONE IN LAKE COUNTY, INDIANA.
Sheriff of Lake County, Indiana
bliefili of Dake County, Indiana
By
SERVICE ACKNOWLEDGED
A copy of the within Summons, a copy of the Complaint, and all materials filed the same dat
attached hereto were received by me at, i, i, Indiana, on this date,, 20
Signature of Defendant

USDC IN/ND case 2:22-cv-00168 document 1-1 filed 06/24/22 page 36 of 61 4/15/2022 10:42 AM

STATE OF INDIANA)) SS:	IN THE LAKE SUPERIOR COURT	Lake County, Indian
COUNTY OF LAKE) 55.	CROWN POINT, INDIANA	
DINEEN JOHNSON, Plaintiff, -vs- WAL-MART STORES EAS WALMART, INC., C.P. FOO d/b/a CAPTN'S PACK, and Defendants.	OD PRODUCTS INC.) CAUSE NO.: 45D10-2202-CT-000165)))	

THE STATE OF INDIANA TO THE DEFENDANT:

C.P. Foods USA 7135 Minstrel Way Suite 203 Columbia, MD 21045

You have been sued by the person(s) identified as "Plaintiff" in the Court stated above.

The nature of the suit against you is stated in the Complaint, which is attached to this Summons. It also states the demand which the Plaintiff has made against you.

SUMMONS

You must either personally or by your attorney, file your written answer to the Complaint with the Clerk within twenty (20) days commencing the day after this Summons and the Complaint were personally served upon you or your agent or left for you by the Sheriff or other process server.

In the event the Summons and Complaint were left for you and you then receive by first class mail (not certified), a copy of the Summons alone, this mailing is merely a confirmation that the Summons and Complaint were previously left for you. You should not consider the date on which you receive the mailed Summons as the commencement date for the time period allowed for your answer. Rather, the time period allowed for your written answer commences on the date when the Summons and Complaint were first personally served upon you or your agent or left for you by the Sheriff or other process server.

However, if you and your agent first received the Summons and the Complaint by certified mail, you have twenty-three (23) days from the date of receipt to file your written answer with the Clerk.

If you fail to answer the Complaint of the Plaintiff within the times prescribed herein, judgment will be entered against you for what the Plaintiff has demanded.

If you have a claim against the Plaintiff arising from the same transaction or occurrence, you may be required to assert such claim in writing together with your written answer.

Manner of Service: Certified Mail

May 23, 2022 .2022

Attorney Julie A. Dugan - #22637-45 RUBINO, RUMAN, CROSMER & POLEN, LLC 275 Joliet Street, Suite 330

Dyer, IN 46311

(219) 322-8222 (telephone)

(219) 322-6675 (fax)



PREPARATION DATA:

All Summons are to be prepared in triplicate with the original of each to be placed in the Court file with two copies available for service. If service is by certified mail, a properly addressed envelope shall be provided for each Defendant.

Certified Mail labels and return receipts must be furnished for each mailing and the cause number must appear on each return receipt, which shall be returnable to the Clerk at the address of the Court. (Form: CS 1/97)

USDC IN/ND case 2:22-cv-00168 document 1-1 filed 06/24/22 page 37 of 61

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	
I hereby certify that on the day of, 20, I mailed a copy of	this
Summons and a copy of the Complaint to the Defendant, by certified mail, requesting a return receipt the address furnished by the Plaintiff.	, at
Lorenzo Arredondo, Clerk of the Lake Superior Court	
Date:	
By(Deputy)	
RETURN ON SERVICE OF SUMMONS BY MAIL	
I hereby certify that the attached return receipt was received by me showing that the Summons a copy of the Complaint was mailed to the Defendant, was accepted by the Defendant on the da, 20	
I hereby certify that the attached return receipt was received by me showing that the Summons	and
a copy of the Complaint was returned not accepted on the day of, 20	
Lorenzo Arredondo, Clerk of the Lake Superior Court By	
Deputy)	
RETURN OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS BY SHERIFF	
I hereby certify that I have served the within Summons:	
1. By delivering on the day of, 20, a copy of this Summons, a cop	y of
the Complaint, and all other materials filed the same date to each of the within named person	$_{1}(s).$
2. By leaving on the day of, 20, for each of the within name	ned
person)(s), a copy of the Summons, a copy of Complaint, and all other materials filed the same date at the respective dwelling house or us	the
place of abode of	
, Indiana, with a person of suitable age and discret	ion
residing within, whose usual duties or activities include prompt communication of s	uch
information to the person served, or by otherwise leaving such process thereat, and by mailing	
copy of the Summons without the Complaint to the said named person(s) at the address list	ted
herein. 3. This Summons came to hand this date,	har
, was not found to my bailiwick this date,	,
20	
ALL DONE IN LAKE COUNTY, INDIANA.	
Sheriff of Lake County, Indiana	
Sherin of Lake County, Indiana	
By	
SERVICE ACKNOWLEDGED	
A copy of the within Summons, a copy of the Complaint, and all materials filed the same of	.ate
attached hereto were received by me at, Indiana, on this date,, 20	in
, Indiana, on this date,, 20	
Signature of Defendant	

STATE OF INDIANA)) SS:	IN THE LAKE SUPERIOR COURT
COUNTY OF LAKE)	CROWN POINT, INDIANA
DINEEN JOHNSON,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Cause No.: 45D10-2202-CT-000165
WAL-MART STORES EAST, LP and WALMART, INC.) FILED IN OPEN COURT) May 18, 2022
Defendants.	Red. Adat. Lopez
	JUDGE, LAKE SUPERIOR COURT, CIVIL DIVISION, ROOM 6 SS

Comes now the Court, and having reviewed plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File First Amended Complaint, GRANTS same. Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint for Damages and Jury Demand is hereby deemed filed.

SO ORDERED this _____ day of __May 18, 2022_, 2022.

JUDGE, LAKE SUPERIOR COURT SS

Distribution:
Julie A. Dugan
Robert B. Thornburg



Lake County, Indiana

STATE OF INDIANA)) SS:	IN THE I	LAKE SUPERIOR COURT
COUNTY OF LAKE)	CROWN	POINT, INDIANA
DINEEN JOHNSON,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.) C	ause No.: 45D10-2202-CT-000165
WAL-MART STORES EA	AST, LP,	ý	May 18, 2022
WALMART, INC., C.P. I	FOOD)	0.001+0
PRODUCTS INC. d/b/a C	'APTN'S PA	CK, and)	Rad. Adat. Lopez
C.P. FOODS USA,)	ss
)	JUDGE, LAKE SUPERIOR COURT,
Defendants.)	CIVIL DIVISION, ROOM 6 ss

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND JURY DEMAND

COUNT I

Comes now the plaintiff, Dineen Johnson, by counsel, Julie A. Dugan of Rubino, Ruman, Crosmer, & Polen, and for her cause of action against the defendants, Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Walmart, Inc., states as follows:

- 1. That the defendant, Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, is a corporation licensed to do business in the State of Indiana.
- 2. That the defendant, Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, operates store #2544 (Store #2544) located at 6087 US Highway 6, Portage, IN 46368.
- 3. That the defendant, Walmart Inc. is located at 702 SW 8th Street, Bentonville, AZ 72712.
- 4. That the Defendants manufacture, distribute, and sell Great Value Cooked Shrimp in the State of Indiana.

5. That on April 16, 2020 and May 13, 2020, the plaintiff, Dineen Johnson, purchased large and extra-large frozen Great Value Cooked Shrimp, Peeled,

Deveined and Tail-On from Store #2544.

6. While eating one package of the shrimp, Plaintiff Dineen Johnson

encountered a black substance within the shrimp.

7. The plaintiff became ill after coming in to contact with the black

substance and has required medical treatment for care of her injuries. As a direct

and proximate result, she has incurred medical expenses and mental distress.

8. When the plaintiff sustained her injuries, she was a consumer and the

defendants were the manufacturers, distributors, and sellers.

9. The defendants placed into the stream of commerce a product in a

defective condition unreasonably dangerous to the plaintiff and, pursuant to I. C. §

34-20-2 et. seq., the defendants are strictly liable to the plaintiff for injuries and

damages.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff seeks compensatory damages which will

reasonably compensate her, plus costs, including attorneys' fees for any frivolously

asserted affirmative defenses, prejudgment interest and any other proper relief.

/s/ Julie A. Dugan

Julie A. Dugan, #22637-45

RUBINO, RUMAN, CROSMER,

& POLEN

Attorney for Plaintiff

COUNT II

Comes now the plaintiff, Dineen Johnson, by counsel, Julie A. Dugan of Rubino, Ruman, Crosmer, & Polen, and for her cause of action against the defendants, C.P. Food Products Inc. d/b/a Captn's Pack and C.P. Foods USA, states as follows:

- 1.-9. That the plaintiff adopts, realleges, and incorporates herein Paragraphs1 through 9 of Count I of her Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 10. That the Defendants are corporations licensed to do business in the State of Indiana.
- 11. That the Defendants manufacture, distribute, and sell Great Value Cooked Shrimp in the State of Indiana.
- 12. That on April 16, 2020 and May 13, 2020, the plaintiff, Dineen Johnson, purchased large and extra-large frozen Great Value Cooked Shrimp, Peeled, Deveined and Tail-On from Store #2544.
- 13. While eating one package of the shrimp, Plaintiff Dineen Johnson encountered a black substance within the shrimp.
- 14. The plaintiff became ill after coming in to contact with the black substance and has required medical treatment for care of her injuries. As a direct and proximate result, she has incurred medical expenses and mental distress.
- 15. When the plaintiff sustained her injuries, she was a consumer and the Defendants were the manufacturers, distributors, and sellers.
 - 16. The defendants placed into the stream of commerce a product in a

defective condition unreasonably dangerous to the plaintiff and, pursuant to I. C. § 34-20-2 et. seq.., the defendants are strictly liable to the plaintiff for injuries and damages.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff seeks compensatory damages which will reasonably compensate her, plus costs, including attorneys' fees for any frivolously asserted affirmative defenses, prejudgment interest and any other proper relief.

/s/ Julie A. Dugan
Julie A. Dugan, #22637-45
RUBINO, RUMAN, CROSMER,
& POLEN
Attorney for Plaintiff

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff, by counsel, demands trial by jury.

/s/ Julie A. Dugan

Julie A. Dugan, #22637-45 RUBINO, RUMAN, CROSMER, & POLEN 275 Joliet Street, Suite 330 Dyer, IN 46311 (219) 322-8222 jdugan@rubinoruman.com Attorney for Plaintiff

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY
Complete Items 1, 2, and 3. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailplece, or on the front if space permits. 1 Attach Addressed to: C.P. Food Products, Inc. d/b/a Captn's Pack 7135 Minstrel Way Suite 203 Columbia, MD 21045	A. Signature X
9590 9402 6571 1028 4469 96 2 Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7013 2250 0001 4087 6015	3. Service Type ☐ Adult Signature ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery ☐ Certified Mail® ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery ☐ Collect on Delivery ☐ Collect on Delivery ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery ☐ Signature Confirmation ☐ Signature Confirmation ☐ Restricted Delivery ☐ Signature Confirmation ☐ Signature Confirmation ☐ Signature Confirmation ☐ Restricted Delivery
PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053	Domestic Return Receipt

☐ Agent

C. Date of Delivery

23100

☐ Yes

1710

б

☐ Addressee

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.
- 1. Article Addressed to:

C.P. Foods USA 7135 Minstrel Way Suite 203 Columbia, MD 21045



9590 9402 6571 1028 4469 89

2 Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7013 2250 0001 4087 6022

3. Service Type

A. Signature

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

is delivery address different from item 17 UFYES, enter delivery address below:

ceived by (Printed Name)

- Adult Signature
 Adult Signature Restricted Delivery
 Certified Mail®
 Certified Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Collect on Delivery
 ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery
 - id Mail Restricted Delivery \$500)

- ☐ Priority Mail Express®
 ☐ Registered Mail™ ☐ Registered Mail Restricted Delivery
- ☐ Signature Confirmation™ ☐ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053

Domestic Return Receipt :

STATE OF INDIANA IN THE LAKE SUPERIOR COURT

DINEEN JOHNSON,)	CAUSE NO. 45D10-2202-CT-000165
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	
WAL-MART STORES EAST, LP, WALMART, INC., C.P. FOOD)	
PRODUCTS INC. d/b/a CAPTN'S PACK and C.P. FOODS USA,)	
Defendants.)	

<u>DEFENDANT WAL-MART STORES EAST, LP'S ANSWER TO</u> PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

Defendant Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, also incorrectly sued and identified as Walmart, Inc. ("Wal-Mart"), by counsel, for its Answer to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint for Damages states as follows:

- 1. Wal-Mart admits that it is licensed to do business in the State of Indiana, but denies the remaining allegations contained in rhetorical paragraph 1.
 - 2. Wal-Mart admits the allegations contained in rhetorical paragraph 2.
- 3. Wal-Mart denies the allegations contained in rhetorical paragraph 3; however, would admit that Walmart Inc. is located at 702 SW 8th Street, Bentonville, AR 72712.
- 4. Wal-Mart admits it sells Great Value cooked shrimp in the State of Indiana, but denies the remaining allegations contained in rhetorical paragraph 4.
- 5. Wal-Mart is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in rhetorical paragraph 5 and therefore denies the same.

- 6. Wal-Mart is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in rhetorical paragraph 6 and therefore denies the same.
- 7. Wal-Mart is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in rhetorical paragraph 7 and therefore denies the same.
- 8. Wal-Mart is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in rhetorical paragraph 8 and therefore denies the same.
 - 9. Wal-Mart denies the allegations contained in rhetorical paragraph 9.

WHEREFORE, Defendant Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, also incorrectly sued and identified as Walmart, Inc., by counsel, respectfully prays that Plaintiff take nothing by way of her First Amended Complaint for Damages, for its costs incurred in defending this action, and for all other just and proper relief in the premises.

Respectfully submitted,

FROST BROWN TODD LLC

By /s/Robert B. Thornburg
Robert B. Thornburg, #19594-02
Attorney for Defendant Wal-Mart Stores
East, LP, also incorrectly sued as Walmart,
Inc.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Defendant Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, also incorrectly sued and identified as Walmart, Inc., by counsel, for its Affirmative Defenses to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint for Damages states as follows:

1. Plaintiff's damages were caused by her own negligence and any recovery by her is therefore barred or should be reduced pursuant to Indiana's Comparative Fault Act.

- 2. Wal-Mart is entitled to a set-off or credit in the event Plaintiff has received or will receive payments from other sources which would result in a double recovery.
 - 3. Plaintiff failed to mitigate her damages, if any.
 - 4. Plaintiff's fault includes, *inter alia*, her assumption of the risk and incurred risk.
- 5. Although Wal-Mart denies the existence of a defect as alleged by Plaintiff, if Plaintiff was aware of a defect and proceeded to eat the product, such use would bar any recovery by Plaintiff.
- 6. At all relevant times, the shrimp complied with all applicable government codes, standards, regulations, or specifications, and therefore Wal-Mart is entitled to a presumption that the shrimp was not defective and that Wal-Mart was not negligent.
- 7. The fault of a currently unknown non-party, the manufacturer of the shrimp, caused or contributed to cause the damages complained of, thereby barring or reducing any recovery by Plaintiff pursuant to the Indiana Comparative Fault Act.
- 8. Wal-Mart was a retail seller and did not grow, manufacture, process or package the shrimp and therefore cannot be held liable for any defect in the shrimp.

WHEREFORE, Defendant Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, also incorrectly sued as Walmart, Inc., by counsel, respectfully prays that Plaintiff take nothing by way of her First Amended Complaint for Damages, for its costs incurred in defending this action, and for all other just and proper relief in the premises.

REQUEST FOR JURY TRIAL

Comes now Defendant, Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, also incorrectly sued as Walmart, Inc., by counsel, and requests trial by jury in this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

FROST BROWN TODD LLC

By /s/Robert B. Thornburg
Robert B. Thornburg, #19594-02
Attorney for Defendant Wal-Mart Stores
East, LP, also incorrectly sued as Walmart,
Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 7th day of June, 2022, a copy of the foregoing was filed electronically. Notice of this filing will be sent to the following parties by operation of the Court's electronic filing system.

Julie A. Dugan RUBINO, RUMAN, CROSMER & POLEN 275 Joliet Street, Suite 330 Dyer, IN 46311 jdugan@rubinoruman.com Attorney for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 7th day of June, 2022, a copy of the foregoing was sent to the following parties by U.S. First Class Mail, postage prepaid:

C.P. Food Products, Inc. d/b/a Captn's Pack 7135 Minstrel Way, Suite 203 Columbia, MD 21045

C.P. Foods USA 7135 Minstrel Way, Suite 203 Columbia, MD 21045

/s/ Robert B. Thornburg

FROST BROWN TODD LLC 201 N Illinois St., Suite 1900 PO Box 44961 Indianapolis, IN 46244-0961 317-237-3800 Fax: 317-237-3900 rthornburg@fbtlaw.com

LR08000.0754862 4879-5083-6259v1

STAT	E OF INDIANA)) SS	IN THE LAKE SUPERIOR COURT CROWN POINT, INDIANA
COUNTY OF LAKE)	
			CAUSE NO.: 45D10-2202-CT-000165
DINE	EN JOHNSON,)	
	Plaintiff,)	
	v.)	
WALN PROD	MART STORES EAST, LP, MART, INC., C.P. FOOD DUCTS INC. d/b/a CAPTN'S C, and C.P. FOODS USA, Defendants.)	
		API	PEARANCE
1.	Case Number: 45D10-2202-	СТ-0001	.65
2.	2. Party Classification: Initiating Responding X Intervening		
3.	The undersigned attorney nov	w appear	rs for the following party:
	C.P. Food Produ	cts Inc	dba Captn's Pack and CP Foods USA
4. 5.	Attorney Information (as app	licable fo	or service of process):
<i>J</i> .	David Burow Flak, #20049-6 LIBERTY MUTUAL GROUP FIR P.O. Box 6835 Scranton, PA 18505-6835 Phone: 219-525-6057 / Fax: 0 David.BurowFlak@LibertyM	603-334-	7281
6.	Case Type Requested (if initia	iting part	ty):
7.	Are there related cases? Yes	No _	_X If yes, list case and number below:
			Cause Number

USDC IN/ND case 2:22-cv-00168 document 1-1 filed 06/24/22 page 51 of 61

3.	This form has been served on all other parties. Certificate of Service is attached:
	Yes: No:
),	Additional information required by state or local rule:
	By: /s/ David P. Burow Flak
	David Burow Flak, #20049-64
	LIBERTY MUTUAL GROUP FIELD LEGAL OFFICES
	P.O. Box 6835
	Scranton, PA 18505-6835
	Phone: 219-525-6057
	Fax: 603-334-7281

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was served on the following parties by using the Court's IEFS System or U.S. Postal Service, pre-paid delivery, for those parties not yet registered, on this 10th day of June, 2022.

Julia A. Dugan RUBINO, RUMAN, CROSMER & POLEN, LLC 275 Joliet Street, Suite 330 Dyer, IN 46311

Robert Burdette Thornburg FROST BROWN TODD LLC 201 North Illinois Street Suite 1900 Indianapolis, IN 46204

By: /s/ David P. Burow Flak

David Burow Flak, #20049-64

LIBERTY MUTUAL GROUP FIELD LEGAL OFFICES P.O. Box 6835 Scranton, PA 18505-6835 Phone: 219-525-6057

Fax: 603-334-7281

David.BurowFlak@LibertyMutual.com

STATE OF INDIANA)) SS	IN THE LAKE SUPERIOR COURT CROWN POINT, INDIANA
COUNTY OF LAKE)	CAUSE NO: 45D10-2202-CT-000165
DINEEN JOHNSON,)	
Plaintiff,)	
V.)	
WAL-MART STORES EAST, LP, WALMART, INC., C.P. FOOD PRODUCTS INC. d/b/a CAPTN'S)	
PACK, and C.P. FOODS USA,)	
Defendants.)	

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Defendants, C.P. Food Products Inc dba Captn's Pack and CP Foods USA, by counsel, David Burow Flak, and respectfully moves this Honorable Court for an extension of time to respond to the Plaintiff's Complaint, pursuant to Ind. Trial Rule 6(B)(1) and would show the Court:.

- 1. That a response to Plaintiff's Complaint is due by June 13, 2022, and that said time has not expired.
 - 2. That no prior extensions have been requested.
 - 3. That said extension of time would expire on July 13, 2022.
- 4. That such extension of time is necessary for Defendants' attorney to properly investigate the allegations in the Plaintiff's Complaint in order to adequately respond to the Plaintiff's Complaint.

WHEREFORE, the Defendants, C.P. Food Products Inc dba Captn's Pack and CP Foods USA, respectfully pray for an extension of time to respond to the Plaintiff's Complaint, up to and including July 13, 2022 and for all other just and proper relief in the premises.

Respectfully Submitted,

LAW OFFICES OF THE LIBERTY MUTUAL GROUP

By:

/s/ David P. Burow Flak
David Burow Flak, #20049-64
Attorney for Defendants, C.P. Food Products Inc dba Captn's
Pack and CP Foods USA

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was served on the following parties by using the Court's IEFS or U.S. Postal Service, pre-paid delivery, for those parties not yet registered, on this day of 10th day of June, 2022.

Julia A. Dugan RUBINO, RUMAN, CROSMER & POLEN, LLC 275 Joliet Street, Suite 330 Dyer, IN 46311

Robert Burdette Thornburg FROST BROWN TODD LLC 201 North Illinois Street Suite 1900 Indianapolis, IN 46204

By: /s/ David P. Burow Flak

David Burow Flak, #20049-64

LAW OFFICES OF THE LIBERTY MUTUAL GROUP P.O. Box 6835 Scranton, PA 18505-6835

Phone: 317-582-0438, Ext: 219-525-6057

Fax: 866-200-5771

David.Burow Flak@LibertyMutual.com

STATE OF INDIANA)) SS	LAKE SUPERIOR COURT CROWN POINT, INDIANA
COUNTY OF LAKE)	CAUSE NO.: 45D10-2202-CT-000165
DINEEN JOHNSON,)	
Plaintiff,)	FILED IN OPEN COURT June 10, 2022
V.)	Red. Adat Lopez
WAL-MART STORES EAST, LP, WALMART, INC., C.P. FOOD PRODUCTS INC. d/b/a CAPTN'S PACK, and C.P. FOODS USA,)	JUDGE, LAKE SUPERIOR COURT [®] , CIVIL DIVISION, ROOM 6 ss
Defendants.)	

ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT

The Court, having reviewed the Motion for Extension of Time filed by Defendants, C.P. Food Products Inc dba Captn's Pack and CP Foods USA, and being duly advised in the premises, now GRANTS said Motion.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the time within which the Defendants, C.P. Food Products Inc dba Captn's Pack and CP Foods USA, are required to respond to the Plaintiff Complaint is extended up to and including July 13, 2022.

Date: _ June 10, 2022

JUDGE, Lake Superior Court

SS

Distribution to:

David Burow Flak LAW OFFICES OF THE LIBERTY MUTUAL GROUP P.O. Box 6835 Scranton, PA 18505-6835

Julia A. Dugan RUBINO, RUMAN, CROSMER & POLEN, LLC 275 Joliet Street, Suite 330 Dyer, IN 46311

STATE OF INDIANA IN THE LAKE SUPERIOR COURT

DINEEN JOHNSON,) CAUSE NO. 45D10-2202-CT-000165
Plaintiff,)
v.)
WAL-MART STORES EAST, LP, WALMART, INC., C.P. FOOD PRODUCTS INC. d/b/a CAPTN'S PACK)))
and C.P. FOODS USA,)
Defendants.	ý

<u>DEFENDANT WAL-MART STORES EAST, LP'S AMENDED ANSWER TO</u> PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

Defendant Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, also incorrectly sued and identified as Walmart, Inc. ("Wal-Mart"), by counsel, for its Amended Answer to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint for Damages states as follows:

- 1. Wal-Mart admits that it is licensed to do business in the State of Indiana, but denies the remaining allegations contained in rhetorical paragraph 1.
 - 2. Wal-Mart admits the allegations contained in rhetorical paragraph 2.
- 3. Wal-Mart denies the allegations contained in rhetorical paragraph 3; however, would admit that Walmart Inc. is located at 702 SW 8th Street, Bentonville, AR 72712.
- 4. Wal-Mart admits it sells Great Value cooked shrimp in the State of Indiana, but denies the remaining allegations contained in rhetorical paragraph 4.
- 5. Wal-Mart is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in rhetorical paragraph 5 and therefore denies the same.

- 6. Wal-Mart is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in rhetorical paragraph 6 and therefore denies the same.
- 7. Wal-Mart is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in rhetorical paragraph 7 and therefore denies the same.
- 8. Wal-Mart is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in rhetorical paragraph 8 and therefore denies the same.
 - 9. Wal-Mart denies the allegations contained in rhetorical paragraph 9.

WHEREFORE, Defendant Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, also incorrectly sued and identified as Walmart, Inc., by counsel, respectfully prays that Plaintiff take nothing by way of her First Amended Complaint for Damages, for its costs incurred in defending this action, and for all other just and proper relief in the premises.

Respectfully submitted,

FROST BROWN TODD LLC

By /s/Robert B. Thornburg
Robert B. Thornburg, #19594-02
Attorney for Defendant Wal-Mart Stores
East, LP, also incorrectly sued as Walmart,
Inc.

COUNT II

- 1-9. Defendant Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, also incorrectly sued and identified as Walmart, Inc., by counsel, hereby incorporates its answers to rhetorical paragraphs one through 9 to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint as if fully set forth.
- 10. The allegations contained in Paragraph 10 of Count II do not contain any allegations directed at Wal-Mart. Therefore, no response to this Paragraph is needed. To the

extent that any allegations contained in Paragraph 10 of Count II can be construed as making any allegation against Wal-Mart, any and all such allegations are denied.

- 11. The allegations contained in Paragraph 11 of Count II do not contain any allegations directed at Wal-Mart. Therefore, no response to this Paragraph is needed. To the extent that any allegations contained in Paragraph 11 of Count II can be construed as making any allegation against Wal-Mart, any and all such allegations are denied.
- 12. The allegations contained in Paragraph 12 of Count II do not contain any allegations directed at Wal-Mart. Therefore, no response to this Paragraph is needed. To the extent that any allegations contained in Paragraph 12 of Count II can be construed as making any allegation against Wal-Mart, any and all such allegations are denied.
- 13. The allegations contained in Paragraph 13 of Count II do not contain any allegations directed at Wal-Mart. Therefore, no response to this Paragraph is needed. To the extent that any allegations contained in Paragraph 13 of Count II can be construed as making any allegation against Wal-Mart, any and all such allegations are denied.
- 14. The allegations contained in Paragraph 14 of Count II do not contain any allegations directed at Wal-Mart. Therefore, no response to this Paragraph is needed. To the extent that any allegations contained in Paragraph 14 of Count II can be construed as making any allegation against Wal-Mart, any and all such allegations are denied.
- 15. The allegations contained in Paragraph 15 of Count II do not contain any allegations directed at Wal-Mart. Therefore, no response to this Paragraph is needed. To the extent that any allegations contained in Paragraph 15 of Count II can be construed as making any allegation against Wal-Mart, any and all such allegations are denied.

16. The allegations contained in Paragraph 16 of Count II do not contain any allegations directed at Wal-Mart. Therefore, no response to this Paragraph is needed. To the extent that any allegations contained in Paragraph 16 of Count II can be construed as making any allegation against Wal-Mart, any and all such allegations are denied.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Defendant Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, also incorrectly sued and identified as Walmart, Inc., by counsel, for its Affirmative Defenses to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint for Damages states as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff's damages were caused by her own negligence and any recovery by her is therefore barred or should be reduced pursuant to Indiana's Comparative Fault Act.
- 2. Wal-Mart is entitled to a set-off or credit in the event Plaintiff has received or will receive payments from other sources which would result in a double recovery.
 - 3. Plaintiff failed to mitigate her damages, if any.
 - 4. Plaintiff's fault includes, *inter alia*, her assumption of the risk and incurred risk.
- 5. Although Wal-Mart denies the existence of a defect as alleged by Plaintiff, if Plaintiff was aware of a defect and proceeded to eat the product, such use would bar any recovery by Plaintiff.
- 6. At all relevant times, the shrimp complied with all applicable government codes, standards, regulations, or specifications, and therefore Wal-Mart is entitled to a presumption that the shrimp was not defective and that Wal-Mart was not negligent.
- 7. The fault of a currently unknown non-party, the manufacturer of the shrimp, caused or contributed to cause the damages complained of, thereby barring or reducing any recovery by Plaintiff pursuant to the Indiana Comparative Fault Act.

8. Wal-Mart was a retail seller and did not grow, manufacture, process or package the shrimp and therefore cannot be held liable for any defect in the shrimp.

WHEREFORE, Defendant Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, also incorrectly sued as Walmart, Inc., by counsel, respectfully prays that Plaintiff take nothing by way of her First Amended Complaint for Damages, for its costs incurred in defending this action, and for all other just and proper relief in the premises.

REQUEST FOR JURY TRIAL

Comes now Defendant, Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, also incorrectly sued as Walmart, Inc., by counsel, and requests trial by jury in this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

FROST BROWN TODD LLC

By /s/Robert B. Thornburg
Robert B. Thornburg, #19594-02
Attorney for Defendant Wal-Mart Stores
East, LP, also incorrectly sued as Walmart,
Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 20th day of June, 2022, a copy of the foregoing was filed electronically. Notice of this filing will be sent to the following parties by operation of the Court's electronic filing system.

Julie A. Dugan RUBINO, RUMAN, CROSMER & POLEN 275 Joliet Street, Suite 330 Dyer, IN 46311 jdugan@rubinoruman.com Attorney for Plaintiff David Burow Flak, #20049-64
LIBERTY MUTUAL GROUP FIELD
LEGAL OFFICES
PO Box 6835
Scranton, PA 18505-6835
David.BurowFlak@LibertyMutual.com
Attorney for C.P. Food Products, Inc. d/b/a
Captn's Pack and C.P. Foods USA

/s/ Robert B. Thornburg

FROST BROWN TODD LLC 201 N Illinois St., Suite 1900 PO Box 44961 Indianapolis, IN 46244-0961 317-237-3800 Fax: 317-237-3900 rthornburg@fbtlaw.com

LR08000.0754862 4879-5083-6259v2